

BGP Techniques for Internet Service Providers



Philip Smith
philip@apnic.net

SANOG 25

Kandy

16th – 24th January 2015

Last updated 12th January 2015

Presentation Slides

- Will be available on
 - <http://bgp4all.com/ftp/seminars/SANOG25-BGP-Techniques.pdf>
 - And on the SANOG25 website
- Feel free to ask questions any time



BGP Techniques for Internet Service Providers

- **BGP Basics**
- BGP Attributes
- Scaling BGP
- Deploying BGP in an ISP network

BGP Basics




What is BGP?

Border Gateway Protocol

- ❑ A Routing Protocol used to exchange routing information between different networks
 - Exterior gateway protocol
- ❑ Described in RFC4271
 - RFC4276 gives an implementation report on BGP
 - RFC4277 describes operational experiences using BGP
- ❑ The Autonomous System is the cornerstone of BGP
 - It is used to uniquely identify networks with a common routing policy

Autonomous System (AS)



- ❑ Collection of networks with same routing policy
- ❑ Single routing protocol
- ❑ Usually under single ownership, trust and administrative control
- ❑ Identified by a unique 32-bit integer (ASN)


Autonomous System Number (ASN)

- Two ranges
 - 0-65535 (original 16-bit range)
 - 65536-4294967295 (32-bit range – RFC6793)
- Usage:
 - 0 and 65535 (reserved)
 - 1-64495 (public Internet)
 - 64496-64511 (documentation – RFC5398)
 - 64512-65534 (private use only)
 - 23456 (represent 32-bit range in 16-bit world)
 - 65536-65551 (documentation – RFC5398)
 - 65552-4199999999 (public Internet)
 - 4200000000-4294967295 (private use only – RFC6996)
- 32-bit range representation specified in RFC5396
 - Defines “asplain” (traditional format) as standard notation

Autonomous System Number (ASN)


- ❑ ASNs are distributed by the Regional Internet Registries
 - They are also available from upstream ISPs who are members of one of the RIRs
- ❑ Current 16-bit ASN assignments up to 64197 have been made to the RIRs
 - Around 49000 are visible on the Internet
 - Around 300 left unassigned
- ❑ Each RIR has also received a block of 32-bit ASNs
 - Out of 8300 assignments, around 6400 are visible on the Internet
- ❑ See www.iana.org/assignments/as-numbers

BGP Basics



- ❑ Runs over TCP – port 179
- ❑ Path vector protocol
- ❑ Incremental updates
- ❑ "Internal" & "External" BGP

Demarcation Zone (DMZ)



- DMZ is the link or network shared between ASes

BGP General Operation


- ❑ Learns multiple paths via internal and external BGP speakers
- ❑ Picks the best path and installs in the forwarding table
- ❑ Best path is sent to external BGP neighbours
- ❑ Policies are applied by influencing the best path selection

eBGP & iBGP


- BGP used internally (iBGP) and externally (eBGP)
- iBGP used to carry
 - Some/all Internet prefixes across ISP backbone
 - ISP's customer prefixes
- eBGP used to
 - Exchange prefixes with other ASes
 - Implement routing policy

BGP/IGP model used in ISP networks

□ Model representation



External BGP Peering (eBGP)




- ❑ Between BGP speakers in different AS
- ❑ Should be directly connected
- ❑ **Never** run an IGP between eBGP peers

Internal BGP (iBGP)


- BGP peer within the same AS
- Not required to be directly connected
 - IGP takes care of inter-BGP speaker connectivity
- iBGP speakers must to be fully meshed:
 - They originate connected networks
 - They pass on prefixes learned from outside the ASN
 - They do not pass on prefixes learned from other iBGP speakers

Internal BGP Peering (iBGP)



- ❑ Topology independent
- ❑ Each iBGP speaker must peer with every other iBGP speaker in the AS

Peering between Loopback Interfaces



- ❑ Peer with loop-back interface
 - Loop-back interface does not go down – ever!
- ❑ Do not want iBGP session to depend on state of a single interface or the physical topology

BGP Attributes



BGP's policy tool kit

What Is an Attribute?

...	Next Hop	AS Path	MED
-----	----------	---------	-----	-----	-----


- ❑ Part of a BGP Update
- ❑ Describes the characteristics of prefix
- ❑ Can either be transitive or non-transitive
- ❑ Some are mandatory

BGP Attributes

- Carry various information about or characteristics of the prefix being propagated
 - AS-PATH
 - NEXT-HOP
 - ORIGIN
 - AGGREGATOR
 - LOCAL_PREFERENCE
 - Multi-Exit Discriminator
 - (Weight)
 - COMMUNITY


AS-Path

- ❑ Sequence of ASes a route has traversed
- ❑ Mandatory transitive attribute
- ❑ Used for:
 - Loop detection
 - Applying policy




AS-Path (with 16 and 32-bit ASNs)


- Internet with 16-bit and 32-bit ASNs
 - 32-bit ASNs are 65536 and above
- AS-PATH length maintained




AS-Path loop detection



Next Hop




iBGP Next Hop



- ❑ Next hop is ibgp router loopback address
- ❑ Recursive route look-up

Third Party Next Hop



- ❑ eBGP between Router A and Router B
- ❑ eBGP between Router B and Router C
- ❑ 120.68.1/24 prefix has next hop address of 150.1.1.3 – this is used by Router A instead of 150.1.1.2 as it is on same subnet as Router B
- ❑ More efficient
- ❑ No extra config needed⁶

Next Hop Best Practice

- ❑ BGP default is for external next-hop to be propagated unchanged to iBGP peers
 - This means that IGP has to carry external next-hops
 - Forgetting means external network is invisible
 - With many eBGP peers, it is unnecessary extra load on IGP
- ❑ ISP Best Practice is to change external next-hop to be that of the local router

Next Hop (Summary)

- ❑ IGP should carry route to next hops
- ❑ Recursive route look-up
- ❑ Unlinks BGP from actual physical topology
- ❑ Change external next hops to that of local router
- ❑ Allows IGP to make intelligent forwarding decision


Origin

- Conveys the origin of the prefix
- **Historical** attribute
 - Used in transition from EGP to BGP
- Transitive and Mandatory Attribute
- Influences best path selection
- Three values: IGP, EGP, incomplete
 - IGP – generated by BGP network statement
 - EGP – generated by EGP
 - incomplete – redistributed from another routing protocol


Aggregator

- ❑ Conveys the IP address of the router or BGP speaker generating the aggregate route
- ❑ Optional & transitive attribute
- ❑ Useful for debugging purposes
- ❑ Does not influence best path selection


Local Preference




Local Preference



Local Preference




Local Preference




Local Preference

- Non-transitive and optional attribute
- Local to an AS – non-transitive
 - Default local preference is 100 (Cisco IOS)
- Used to influence BGP path selection
 - determines best path for *outbound* traffic
- Path with highest local preference wins


Multi-Exit Discriminator (MED)




Multi-Exit Discriminator (MED)



Multi-Exit Discriminator (MED)



Multi-Exit Discriminator (MED)



Multi-Exit Discriminator

- ❑ Inter-AS – non-transitive & optional attribute
- ❑ Used to convey the relative preference of entry points
 - Determines best path for inbound traffic
- ❑ Comparable if paths are from same AS
 - Implementations have a knob to allow comparisons of MEDs from different ASes
- ❑ Path with lowest MED wins
- ❑ Absence of MED attribute implies MED value of **zero** (RFC4271)

Multi-Exit Discriminator


“metric confusion”

- MED is non-transitive and optional attribute
 - Some implementations send learned MEDs to iBGP peers by default, others do not
 - Some implementations send MEDs to eBGP peers by default, others do not
- Default metric varies according to vendor implementation
 - Original BGP spec (RFC1771) made no recommendation
 - Some implementations handled absence of metric as meaning a metric of 0
 - Other implementations handled the absence of metric as meaning a metric of $2^{32}-1$ (highest possible) or $2^{32}-2$
 - Potential for “metric confusion”


Community

- Communities are described in RFC1997
 - Transitive and Optional Attribute
- 32 bit integer
 - Represented as two 16 bit integers (RFC1998)
 - Common format is <local-ASN>:xx
 - 0:0 to 0:65535 and 65535:0 to 65535:65535 are reserved
- Used to group destinations
 - Each destination could be member of multiple communities
- Very useful in applying policies within and between ASes


Community Example (before)




Community Example (before)




Community Example (before)




Community Example (before)




Community Example (after)




Community Example (after)



Community Example (after)




Community Example (after)



Well-Known Communities


- ❑ Several well known communities
 - www.iana.org/assignments/bgp-well-known-communities
- ❑ no-export 65535:65281
 - do not advertise to any eBGP peers
- ❑ no-advertise 65535:65282
 - do not advertise to any BGP peer
- ❑ no-export-subconfed 65535:65283
 - do not advertise outside local AS (only used with confederations)
- ❑ no-peer 65535:65284
 - do not advertise to bi-lateral peers (RFC3765)

No-Export Community



- ❑ AS100 announces aggregate and subprefixes
 - Intention is to improve loadsharing by leaking subprefixes
- ❑ Subprefixes marked with **no-export** community
- ❑ Router G in AS200 does not announce prefixes with **no-export** community set

No-Peer Community



- Sub-prefixes marked with **no-peer** community are not sent to bi-lateral peers
 - They are only sent to upstream providers

What about 4-byte ASNs?

- ❑ Communities are widely used for encoding ISP routing policy
 - 32 bit attribute
- ❑ RFC1998 format is now “standard” practice
 - ASN:number
- ❑ Fine for 2-byte ASNs, but 4-byte ASNs cannot be encoded
- ❑ Solutions:
 - Use “private ASN” for the first 16 bits
 - Wait for <http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-idr-as4octet-extcomm-generic-subtype/> to be implemented

Community

Implementation details

- Community is an optional attribute
 - Some implementations send communities to iBGP peers by default, some do not
 - Some implementations send communities to eBGP peers by default, some do not
- Being careless can lead to community “confusion”
 - ISPs need consistent community policy within their own networks
 - And they need to inform peers, upstreams and customers about their community expectations

BGP Path Selection Algorithm



Why Is This the Best Path?

BGP Path Selection Algorithm for Cisco IOS: Part One

1. Do not consider path if no route to next hop
2. Do not consider iBGP path if not synchronised (Cisco IOS)
3. Highest weight (local to router)
4. Highest local preference (global within AS)
5. Prefer locally originated route
6. Shortest AS path

BGP Path Selection Algorithm for Cisco IOS: Part Two

7. Lowest origin code
 - IGP < EGP < incomplete
8. Lowest Multi-Exit Discriminator (MED)
 - If **bgp deterministic-med**, order the paths by AS number before comparing
 - If **bgp always-compare-med**, then compare for all paths
 - Otherwise MED only considered if paths are from the same AS (default)

BGP Path Selection Algorithm for Cisco IOS: Part Three

9. Prefer eBGP path over iBGP path
10. Path with lowest IGP metric to next-hop
11. For eBGP paths:
 - If multipath is enabled, install N parallel paths in forwarding table
 - If router-id is the same, go to next step
 - If router-id is not the same, select the oldest path

BGP Path Selection Algorithm for Cisco IOS: Part Four

12. Lowest router-id (originator-id for reflected routes)
13. Shortest cluster-list
 - Client must be aware of Route Reflector attributes!
14. Lowest neighbour address

BGP Path Selection Algorithm

- In multi-vendor environments:
 - Make sure the path selection processes are understood for each brand of equipment
 - Each vendor has slightly different implementations, extra steps, extra features, etc
 - Watch out for possible MED confusion

Applying Policy with BGP



Controlling Traffic Flow & Traffic
Engineering

Applying Policy in BGP:

Why?

- Network operators rarely “plug in routers and go”
- External relationships:
 - Control who they peer with
 - Control who they give transit to
 - Control who they get transit from
- Traffic flow control:
 - Efficiently use the scarce infrastructure resources (external link load balancing)
 - Congestion avoidance
 - Terminology: Traffic Engineering

Applying Policy in BGP: How?

- Policies are applied by:
 - Setting BGP attributes (local-pref, MED, AS-PATH, community), thereby influencing the path selection process
 - Advertising or Filtering prefixes
 - Advertising or Filtering prefixes according to ASN and AS-PATHs
 - Advertising or Filtering prefixes according to Community membership

Applying Policy with BGP: Tools

- Most implementations have tools to apply policies to BGP:
 - Prefix manipulation/filtering
 - AS-PATH manipulation/filtering
 - Community Attribute setting and matching
- Implementations also have policy language which can do various match/set constructs on the attributes of chosen BGP routes

BGP Capabilities



Extending BGP

BGP Capabilities

- ❑ Documented in RFC2842
- ❑ Capabilities parameters passed in BGP open message
- ❑ Unknown or unsupported capabilities will result in NOTIFICATION message
- ❑ Codes:
 - 0 to 63 are assigned by IANA by IETF consensus
 - 64 to 127 are assigned by IANA “first come first served”
 - 128 to 255 are vendor specific

BGP Capabilities

□ Current capabilities are:

See www.iana.org/assignments/capability-codes

0	Reserved	[RFC3392]
1	Multiprotocol Extensions for BGP-4	[RFC4760]
2	Route Refresh Capability for BGP-4	[RFC2918]
3	Outbound Route Filtering Capability	[RFC5291]
4	Multiple routes to a destination capability	[RFC3107]
5	Extended Next Hop Encoding	[RFC5549]
64	Graceful Restart Capability	[RFC4724]
65	Support for 4 octet ASNs	[RFC6793]
66	Deprecated	
67	Support for Dynamic Capability	[ID]
68	Multisession BGP	[ID]
69	Add Path Capability	[ID]
70	Enhanced Route Refresh Capability	[ID]
71	Long Lived Graceful Restart	[ID]

BGP Capabilities

- Multiprotocol extensions
 - This is a whole different world, allowing BGP to support more than IPv4 unicast routes
 - Examples include: v4 multicast, IPv6, v6 multicast, VPNs
 - Another tutorial (or many!)
- Route refresh is a well known scaling technique – covered shortly
- 32-bit ASNs arrived in 2006
- The other capabilities are still in development or not widely implemented or deployed yet



BGP for Internet Service Providers

- BGP Basics
- **Scaling BGP**
- Using Communities
- Deploying BGP in an ISP network

BGP Scaling Techniques



BGP Scaling Techniques

- Original BGP specification and implementation was fine for the Internet of the early 1990s
 - But didn't scale
- Issues as the Internet grew included:
 - Scaling the iBGP mesh beyond a few peers?
 - Implement new policy without causing flaps and route churning?
 - Keep the network stable, scalable, as well as simple?



BGP Scaling Techniques

- Current Best Practice Scaling Techniques
 - Route Refresh
 - Peer-groups
 - Route Reflectors (and Confederations)
- Deploying 4-byte ASNs
- Deprecated Scaling Techniques
 - Route Flap Damping

Dynamic Reconfiguration



Route Refresh

Route Refresh

- BGP peer reset required after every policy change
 - Because the router does not store prefixes which are rejected by policy
- Hard BGP peer reset:
 - Tears down BGP peering & consumes CPU
 - Severely disrupts connectivity for all networks
- Soft BGP peer reset (or Route Refresh):
 - BGP peering remains active
 - Impacts only those prefixes affected by policy change

Route Refresh Capability

- Facilitates non-disruptive policy changes
- For most implementations, no configuration is needed
 - Automatically negotiated at peer establishment
- No additional memory is used
- Requires peering routers to support “route refresh capability” – RFC2918

Dynamic Reconfiguration

- Use Route Refresh capability
 - Supported on virtually all routers
 - Find out from “show ip bgp neighbor”
 - Non-disruptive, “Good For the Internet”

- Only hard-reset a BGP peering as a last resort

Consider the impact to be equivalent to a router reboot

Route Reflectors




Scaling the iBGP mesh

Scaling iBGP mesh


- Avoid $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ iBGP mesh

**$n=1000 \Rightarrow$ nearly
half a million
ibgp sessions!**




- Two solutions
 - Route reflector – simpler to deploy and run
 - Confederation – more complex, has corner case advantages

Route Reflector: Principle




Route Reflector: Principle



Route Reflector

- ❑ Reflector receives path from clients and non-clients
- ❑ Selects best path
- ❑ If best path is from client, reflect to other clients and non-clients
- ❑ If best path is from non-client, reflect to clients only
- ❑ Non-meshed clients
- ❑ Described in RFC4456





Route Reflector: Topology

- ❑ Divide the backbone into multiple clusters
- ❑ At least one route reflector and few clients per cluster
- ❑ Route reflectors are fully meshed
- ❑ Clients in a cluster could be fully meshed
- ❑ Single IGP to carry next hop and local routes


Route Reflector: Loop Avoidance

- Originator_ID attribute
 - Carries the RID of the originator of the route in the local AS (created by the RR)
- Cluster_list attribute
 - The local cluster-id is added when the update is sent by the RR
 - Best to set cluster-id from router-id (address of loopback)
 - (Some ISPs use their own cluster-id assignment strategy – but needs to be well documented!)

Route Reflector: Redundancy

- Multiple RRs can be configured in the same cluster – not advised!
 - All RRs in the cluster must have the same cluster-id (otherwise it is a different cluster)
- A router may be a client of RRs in different clusters
 - Common today in ISP networks to overlay two clusters – redundancy achieved that way
 - → Each client has two RRs = redundancy

Route Reflectors: Redundancy



Cluster One

Cluster Two



Route Reflector: Benefits

- ❑ Solves iBGP mesh problem
- ❑ Packet forwarding is not affected
- ❑ Normal BGP speakers co-exist
- ❑ Multiple reflectors for redundancy
- ❑ Easy migration
- ❑ Multiple levels of route reflectors


Route Reflector: Deployment

- Where to place the route reflectors?
 - Always follow the physical topology!
 - This will guarantee that the packet forwarding won't be affected
- Typical ISP network:
 - PoP has two core routers
 - Core routers are RR for the PoP
 - Two overlaid clusters

Route Reflector: Migration

- Typical ISP network:
 - Core routers have fully meshed iBGP
 - Create further hierarchy if core mesh too big
 - Split backbone into regions
- Configure one cluster pair at a time
 - Eliminate redundant iBGP sessions
 - Place maximum one RR per cluster
 - Easy migration, multiple levels

Route Reflectors: Migration



- ❑ Migrate small parts of the network, one part at a time.

BGP Confederations




Confederations

- Divide the AS into sub-AS
 - eBGP between sub-AS, but some iBGP information is kept
 - Preserve NEXT_HOP across the sub-AS (IGP carries this information)
 - Preserve LOCAL_PREF and MED
- Usually a single IGP
- Described in RFC5065

Confederations (Cont.)

- Visible to outside world as single AS – “Confederation Identifier”
 - Each sub-AS uses a number from the private AS range (64512-65534)
- iBGP speakers in each sub-AS are fully meshed
 - The total number of neighbours is reduced by limiting the full mesh requirement to only the peers in the sub-AS
 - Can also use Route-Reflector within sub-AS


Confederations



□ Configuration (Router C):

```
router bgp 65532
  bgp confederation identifier 200
  bgp confederation peers 65530 65531
  neighbor 141.153.12.1 remote-as 65530
  neighbor 141.153.17.2 remote-as 65531
```

Confederations: AS-Sequence



Route Propagation Decisions

- Same as with “normal” BGP:
 - From peer in same sub-AS → only to external peers
 - From external peers → to all neighbors
- “External peers” refers to
 - Peers outside the confederation
 - Peers in a different sub-AS
 - Preserve LOCAL_PREF, MED and NEXT_HOP

RRs or Confederations

	Internet Connectivity	Multi-Level Hierarchy	Policy Control	Scalability	Migration Complexity
Confederations	Anywhere in the Network	Yes	Yes	Medium	Medium to High
Route Reflectors	Anywhere in the Network	Yes	Yes	Very High	Very Low

Most new service provider networks now deploy Route Reflectors from Day One

More points about Confederations

- Can ease “absorbing” other ISPs into you ISP – e.g., if one ISP buys another
 - Or can use AS masquerading feature available in some implementations to do a similar thing
- Can use route-reflectors with confederation sub-AS to reduce the sub-AS iBGP mesh

Deploying 32-bit ASNs



How to support customers using
the extended ASN range

32-bit ASNs

- Standards documents
 - Description of 32-bit ASNs
 - www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6793.txt
 - Textual representation
 - www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5396.txt
 - New extended community
 - www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5668.txt
- AS 23456 is reserved as interface between 16-bit and 32-bit ASN world

32-bit ASNs – terminology

- 16-bit ASNs
 - Refers to the range 0 to 65535
- 32-bit ASNs
 - Refers to the range 65536 to 4294967295
 - (or the extended range)
- 32-bit ASN pool
 - Refers to the range 0 to 4294967295

Getting a 32-bit ASN

- Nowadays:
 - Standard application process to the RIRs
 - Or via upstream provider
 - Sample RIR policy
 - www.apnic.net/docs/policy/asn-policy.html
- Bootstrap phase from 2007-2010
 - From 1st January 2007
 - 32-bit ASNs were available on request
 - From 1st January 2009
 - 32-bit ASNs were assigned by default
 - 16-bit ASNs were only available on request
 - From 1st January 2010
 - No distinction – ASNs assigned from the 32-bit pool

Representation (1)

- Initially three formats proposed for the 0-4294967295 ASN range :
 - asplain
 - asdot
 - asdot+
- In reality:
 - **Most operators favour traditional plain format**
 - A few prefer dot notation (X.Y):
 - asdot for 65536-4294967295, e.g 2.4
 - asdot+ for 0-4294967295, e.g 0.64513
 - But regular expressions will have to be completely rewritten for asdot and asdot+ !!!

Representation (2)

- ❑ Rewriting regular expressions for asdot/asdot+ notation
- ❑ Example:
 - `^[0-9]+$` matches any ASN (16-bit and asplain)
 - This and equivalents extensively used in BGP multihoming configurations for traffic engineering
- ❑ Equivalent regexp for asdot is:
 - `^([0-9]+)|([0-9]+\.[0-9]+)$`
- ❑ Equivalent regexp for asdot+ is:
 - `^[0-9]+\.[0-9]+$`

Changes

- ❑ 32-bit ASNs are backward compatible with 16-bit ASNs
- ❑ **There is no flag day**
- ❑ You do NOT need to:
 - Throw out your old routers
 - Replace your 16-bit ASN with a 32-bit ASN
- ❑ You do need to be aware that:
 - Your customers will come with 32-bit ASNs
 - ASN 23456 is not a bogon!
 - You will need a router supporting 32-bit ASNs to use a 32-bit ASN locally
- ❑ If you have a proper BGP implementation, 32-bit ASNs will be transported silently across your network

How does it work?

- If local router and remote router supports configuration of 32-bit ASNs
 - BGP peering is configured as normal using the 32-bit ASN
- If local router and remote router does not support configuration of 32-bit ASNs
 - BGP peering can only use a 16-bit ASN
- If local router only supports 16-bit ASN and remote router/network has a 32-bit ASN
 - Compatibility mode is initiated...

Compatibility Mode (1)


- ❑ Local router only supports 16-bit ASN and remote router uses 32-bit ASN
- ❑ BGP peering initiated:
 - Remote asks local if 32-bit supported (BGP capability negotiation)
 - When local says “no”, remote then presents AS23456
 - Local needs to be configured to peer with remote using AS23456
- ❑ ⇒ Operator of local router has to configure BGP peering with AS23456

Compatibility Mode (2)

- BGP peering initiated (cont):
 - BGP session established using AS23456
 - 32-bit ASN included in a new BGP attribute called AS4_PATH
 - (as opposed to AS_PATH for 16-bit ASNs)
- Result:
 - 16-bit ASN world sees 16-bit ASNs and 23456 standing in for each 32-bit ASN
 - 32-bit ASN world sees 16 and 32-bit ASNs

Example:

- ❑ Internet with 32-bit and 16-bit ASNs
- ❑ AS-PATH length maintained



What has changed?

- Two new BGP attributes:
 - AS4_PATH
 - Carries 32-bit ASN path info
 - AS4_AGGREGATOR
 - Carries 32-bit ASN aggregator info
 - Well-behaved BGP implementations will simply pass these along if they don't understand them
- AS23456 (AS_TRANS)

What do they look like?

- IPv4 prefix originated by AS196613

```
as4-7200#sh ip bgp 145.125.0.0/20
```

```
BGP routing table entry for 145.125.0.0/20, version  
58734
```

```
Paths: (1 available, best #1, table default)
```

```
asplain 131072 12654 196613
```

```
format 204.69.200.25 from 204.69.200.25 (204.69.200.25)
```

```
Origin IGP, localpref 100, valid, internal, best
```

- IPv4 prefix originated by AS3.5

```
as4-7200#sh ip bgp 145.125.0.0/20
```

```
BGP routing table entry for 145.125.0.0/20, version  
58734
```

```
Paths: (1 available, best #1, table default)
```

```
asdot 2.0 12654 3.5
```

```
format 204.69.200.25 from 204.69.200.25 (204.69.200.25)
```

```
Origin IGP, localpref 100, valid, internal, best
```

What do they look like?

- IPv4 prefix originated by AS196613
 - But 16-bit AS world view:

```
BGP-view1>sh ip bgp 145.125.0.0/20
```

```
BGP routing table entry for 145.125.0.0/20, version  
113382
```

```
Paths: (1 available, best #1, table Default-IP-Routing-  
Table)
```

```
23456 12654 23456
```

```
204.69.200.25 from 204.69.200.25 (204.69.200.25)
```

```
Origin IGP, localpref 100, valid, external, best
```

**Transition
AS**

If 32-bit ASN not supported:

- Inability to distinguish between peer ASes using 32-bit ASNs
 - They will all be represented by AS23456
 - Could be problematic for transit provider's policy
 - Workaround: use BGP communities instead
- Inability to distinguish prefix's origin AS
 - How to tell whether origin is real or fake?
 - The real and fake both represented by AS23456
 - **(There should be a better solution here!)**

If 32-bit ASN not supported:

- ❑ Incorrect NetFlow summaries:
 - Prefixes from 32-bit ASNs will all be summarised under AS23456
 - Traffic statistics need to be measured per prefix and aggregated
 - Makes it hard to determine peerability of a neighbouring network
- ❑ Unintended filtering by peers and upstreams:
 - Even if IRR supports 32-bit ASNs, not all tools in use can support
 - ISP may not support 32-bit ASNs which are in the IRR – and don't realise that AS23456 is the transition AS

Implementations (May 2011)

- ❑ Cisco IOS-XR 3.4 onwards
- ❑ Cisco IOS-XE 2.3 onwards
- ❑ Cisco IOS 12.0(32)S12, 12.4(24)T, 12.2SRE, 12.2(33)SXI1 onwards
- ❑ Cisco NX-OS 4.0(1) onwards
- ❑ Quagga 0.99.10 (patches for 0.99.6)
- ❑ OpenBGPd 4.2 (patches for 3.9 & 4.0)
- ❑ Juniper JunOSe 4.1.0 & JunOS 9.1 onwards
- ❑ Redback SEOS
- ❑ Force10 FTOS7.7.1 onwards

- ❑ http://as4.cluepon.net/index.php/Software_Support used to have a complete list

Route Flap Damping



Network Stability for the 1990s

Network Instability for the 21st
Century!



Route Flap Damping

- ❑ For many years, Route Flap Damping was a strongly recommended practice
- ❑ Now it is strongly discouraged as it appears to cause far greater network instability than it cures
- ❑ But first, the theory...

Route Flap Damping

- Route flap
 - Going up and down of path or change in attribute
 - BGP WITHDRAW followed by UPDATE = 1 flap
 - eBGP neighbour going down/up is NOT a flap
 - Ripples through the entire Internet
 - Wastes CPU
- Damping aims to reduce scope of route flap propagation


Route Flap Damping (continued)

- Requirements
 - Fast convergence for normal route changes
 - History predicts future behaviour
 - Suppress oscillating routes
 - Advertise stable routes
- Implementation described in RFC 2439

Operation

- Add penalty (1000) for each flap
 - Change in attribute gets penalty of 500
- Exponentially decay penalty
 - half life determines decay rate
- Penalty above suppress-limit
 - do not advertise route to BGP peers
- Penalty decayed below reuse-limit
 - re-advertise route to BGP peers
 - penalty reset to zero when it is half of reuse-limit

Operation



Operation

- ❑ Only applied to inbound announcements from eBGP peers
- ❑ Alternate paths still usable
- ❑ Controllable by at least:
 - Half-life
 - reuse-limit
 - suppress-limit
 - maximum suppress time

Configuration

- Implementations allow various policy control with flap damping
 - Fixed damping, same rate applied to all prefixes
 - Variable damping, different rates applied to different ranges of prefixes and prefix lengths

Route Flap Damping History

- First implementations on the Internet by 1995
- Vendor defaults too severe
 - RIPE Routing Working Group recommendations in ripe-178, ripe-210, and ripe-229
 - <http://www.ripe.net/ripe/docs>
 - But many ISPs simply switched on the vendors' default values without thinking

Serious Problems:

- "Route Flap Damping Exacerbates Internet Routing Convergence"
 - Zhuoqing Morley Mao, Ramesh Govindan, George Varghese & Randy H. Katz, August 2002
- "What is the sound of one route flapping?"
 - Tim Griffin, June 2002
- Various work on routing convergence by Craig Labovitz and Abha Ahuja a few years ago
- "Happy Packets"
 - Closely related work by Randy Bush et al

Problem 1:

- One path flaps:
 - BGP speakers pick next best path, announce to all peers, flap counter incremented
 - Those peers see change in best path, flap counter incremented
 - After a few hops, peers see multiple changes simply caused by a single flap → prefix is suppressed

Problem 2:

- Different BGP implementations have different transit time for prefixes
 - Some hold onto prefix for some time before advertising
 - Others advertise immediately
- Race to the finish line causes appearance of flapping, caused by a simple announcement or path change → prefix is suppressed

Solution:

- ❑ Misconfigured Route Flap Damping will seriously impact access to:
 - Your network and
 - The Internet
- ❑ More background contained in RIPE Routing Working Group document:
 - www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/ripe-378
- ❑ Recommendations now in:
 - www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7196.txt and www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/ripe-580



BGP for Internet Service Providers

- BGP Basics
- BGP Attributes
- Scaling BGP
- **Deploying BGP in an ISP network**

Deploying BGP in an ISP Network



Okay, so we've learned all about BGP now; how do we use it on our network??



Deploying BGP

- ❑ The role of IGPs and iBGP
- ❑ Aggregation
- ❑ Receiving Prefixes
- ❑ Configuration Tips

The role of IGP and iBGP



Ships in the night?

Or

Good foundations?

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS


- Internal Routing Protocols (IGPs)
 - Examples are ISIS and OSPF
 - Used for carrying **infrastructure** addresses
 - **NOT** used for carrying Internet prefixes or customer prefixes
 - Design goal is to **minimise** number of prefixes in IGP to aid scalability and rapid convergence

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

- BGP is used
 - Internally (iBGP)
 - Externally (eBGP)
- iBGP is used to carry:
 - Some/all Internet prefixes across backbone
 - Customer prefixes
- eBGP is used to:
 - Exchange prefixes with other ASes
 - Implement routing policy

BGP/IGP model used in ISP networks

- Model representation



BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

- DO NOT:
 - Distribute BGP prefixes into an IGP
 - Distribute IGP routes into BGP
 - Use an IGP to carry customer prefixes
- **YOUR NETWORK WILL NOT SCALE**

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

- Use iBGP to carry customer prefixes
 - Don't ever use IGP
- Point static route to customer interface
- Enter network into BGP process
 - Ensure that implementation options are used so that the prefix always remains in iBGP, regardless of state of interface
 - i.e. avoid iBGP flaps caused by interface flaps

Aggregation




Quality or Quantity?

Aggregation

- ❑ Aggregation means announcing the address block received from the RIR to the other ASes connected to your network
- ❑ Subprefixes of this aggregate may be:
 - Used internally in the ISP network
 - Announced to other ASes to aid with multihoming
- ❑ Too many operators are still thinking about class Cs, resulting in a proliferation of /24s in the Internet routing table
 - January 2015: 282000 /24s in IPv4 table of 526000 prefixes
- ❑ **The same is happening for /48s with IPv6**
 - January 2015: 8800 /48s in IPv6 table of 20200 prefixes

Aggregation – Example




- ❑ Customer has /23 network assigned from AS100's /19 address block
- ❑ AS100 announces customers' individual networks to the Internet

Aggregation – Bad Example


- Customer link goes down
 - Their /23 network becomes unreachable
 - /23 is withdrawn from AS100's iBGP
 - Their ISP doesn't aggregate its /19 network block
 - /23 network withdrawal announced to peers
 - starts rippling through the Internet
 - added load on all Internet backbone routers as network is removed from routing table
-
- Customer link returns
 - Their /23 network is now visible to their ISP
 - Their /23 network is re-advertised to peers
 - Starts rippling through Internet
 - Load on Internet backbone routers as network is reinserted into routing table
 - Some ISP's suppress the flaps
 - Internet may take 10-20 min or longer to be visible
 - Where is the Quality of Service???

Aggregation – Example



- ❑ Customer has /23 network assigned from AS100's /19 address block
- ❑ AS100 announced /19 aggregate to the Internet

Aggregation – Good Example

- ❑ Customer link goes down
 - their /23 network becomes unreachable
 - /23 is withdrawn from AS100's iBGP
 - ❑ /19 aggregate is still being announced
 - no BGP hold down problems
 - no BGP propagation delays
 - no damping by other ISPs
 - ❑ Customer link returns
 - ❑ Their /23 network is visible again
 - The /23 is re-injected into AS100's iBGP
 - ❑ The whole Internet becomes visible immediately
 - ❑ Customer has Quality of Service perception
- 

Aggregation – Summary

- Good example is what everyone should do!
 - Adds to Internet stability
 - Reduces size of routing table
 - Reduces routing churn
 - Improves Internet QoS for everyone
- Bad example is what too many still do!
 - Why? Lack of knowledge?
 - Laziness?

Separation of iBGP and eBGP

- ❑ Many ISPs do not understand the importance of separating iBGP and eBGP
 - iBGP is where all customer prefixes are carried
 - eBGP is used for announcing aggregate to Internet and for Traffic Engineering
- ❑ Do **NOT** do traffic engineering with customer originated iBGP prefixes
 - Leads to instability similar to that mentioned in the earlier bad example
 - Even though aggregate is announced, a flapping subprefix will lead to instability for the customer concerned
- ❑ **Generate traffic engineering prefixes on the Border Router**

The Internet Today

(January 2015)

□ Current Internet Routing Table Statistics

- | | |
|--|--------|
| ■ BGP Routing Table Entries | 525902 |
| ■ Prefixes after maximum aggregation | 201885 |
| ■ Unique prefixes in Internet | 256795 |
| ■ Prefixes smaller than registry alloc | 177099 |
| ■ /24s announced | 282346 |
| ■ ASes in use | 49014 |
- (maximum aggregation is calculated by Origin AS)
 - (unique prefixes > max aggregation means that operators are announcing aggregates from their blocks without a covering aggregate)

Efforts to improve aggregation

- The CIDR Report
 - Initiated and operated for many years by Tony Bates
 - Now combined with Geoff Huston's routing analysis
 - www.cidr-report.org
 - (covers both IPv4 and IPv6 BGP tables)
 - Results e-mailed on a weekly basis to most operations lists around the world
 - Lists the top 30 service providers who could do better at aggregating
- RIPE Routing WG aggregation recommendations
 - IPv4: RIPE-399 — www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/ripe-399.html
 - IPv6: RIPE-532 — www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/ripe-532.html

Efforts to Improve Aggregation

The CIDR Report

- ❑ Also computes the size of the routing table assuming ISPs performed optimal aggregation
- ❑ Website allows searches and computations of aggregation to be made on a per AS basis
 - Flexible and powerful tool to aid ISPs
 - Intended to show how greater efficiency in terms of BGP table size can be obtained without loss of routing and policy information
 - Shows what forms of origin AS aggregation could be performed and the potential benefit of such actions to the total table size
 - Very effectively challenges the traffic engineering excuse


A list of advertisements of address blocks and Autonomous System numbers where there is no matching allocation data.

Status Summary

Table History

Date	Prefixes	CIDR Aggregated
05-01-15	5311171	291938
06-01-15	529585	292403
07-01-15	530471	292497
08-01-15	530416	292577
09-01-15	530957	292867
10-01-15	530888	293281
11-01-15	530995	293414
12-01-15	531475	293351

Plot: [BGP Table Size](#)



AS Summary

49279	Number of ASes in routing system
19759	Number of ASes announcing only one prefix
3055	Largest number of prefixes announced by an AS
	AS10620 : Telmex Colombia S.A.,CO
120393216	Largest address span announced by an AS (/32s)
	AS4134 : CHINANET-BACKBONE No.31,Jin-rong Street,CN


Plot: [AS count](#)

Plot: [Average announcements per origin AS](#)

Report: [ASes ordered by originating address span](#)

Report: [ASes ordered by transit address span](#)

Report: [Autonomous System number-to-name mapping \(from Registry WHOIS data\)](#)



Aggregation Summary

The algorithm used in this report proposes aggregation only when there is a precise match using AS path so as to preserve traffic transit policies. Aggregation is also proposed across non-advertised address space ('holes').

--- 12Jan15 ---

ASnum	NetsNow	NetsAggr	NetGain	% Gain	Description
Table	531379	293232	238147	44.8%	All ASes
AS6389	2890	69	2821	97.6%	BELLSOUTH-NET-BLK - BellSouth.net Inc.,US
AS22773	2933	172	2761	94.1%	ASN-CXA-ALL-CCI-22773-RDC - Cox Communications Inc.,US
AS17974	2825	77	2748	97.3%	TELKOMNET-AS2-AP PT Telekomunikasi Indonesia,ID
AS28573	2314	307	2007	86.7%	NET Serviços de Comunicação S.A.,BR
AS4755	1930	292	1638	84.9%	TATACOMM-AS TATA Communications formerly VSNL is Leading ISP,IN
AS6147	1788	159	1629	91.1%	Telefonica del Peru S.A.A.,PE
AS4766	2915	1290	1625	55.7%	KIXS-AS-KR Korea Telecom,KR
AS7303	1772	289	1483	83.7%	Telecom Argentina S.A.,AR
AS10620	3055	1584	1471	48.2%	Telmex Colombia S.A.,CO
AS9808	1522	56	1466	96.3%	CMNET-GD Guangdong Mobile Communication Co.Ltd.,CN
AS8402	1432	26	1406	98.2%	CORBINA-AS OJSC "Vimpelcom",RU
AS20115	1855	531	1324	71.4%	CHARTER-NET-HKY-NC - Charter Communications,US
AS7545	2508	1270	1238	49.4%	TPG-INTERNET-AP TPG Telecom Limited,AU
AS4323	1630	410	1220	74.8%	TWTC - tw telecom holdings, inc.,US
AS9498	1300	112	1188	91.4%	BBIL-AP BHARTI Airtel Ltd.,IN
AS18566	2041	868	1173	57.5%	MEGAPATH5-US - MegaPath Corporation,US
AS7552	1118	49	1069	95.6%	VIETEL-AS-AP Viettel Corporation,VN
AS22561	1340	276	1064	79.4%	AS22561 - CenturyTel Internet Holdings, Inc.,US
AS34984	1934	872	1062	54.9%	TELLCOM-AS TELLCOM ILETISIM HIZMETLERI A.S.,TR
AS3356	2561	1507	1054	41.2%	LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc.,US
AS6983	1629	637	992	60.9%	ITCDELTA - Earthlink, Inc.,US
AS7738	1000	84	916	91.6%	Telemar Norte Leste S.A.,BR
AS38285	983	113	870	88.5%	M2TELECOMMUNICATIONS-AU M2 Telecommunications Group Ltd,AU
AS4538	1775	908	867	48.8%	ERX-CERNET-BKB China Education and Research Network Center,CN
AS31148	1045	190	855	81.8%	FREENET-AS Freenet Ltd.,UA
AS8151	1491	702	789	52.9%	Uninet S.A. de C.V.,MX
AS26615	913	139	774	84.8%	Tim Celular S.A.,BR
AS24560	1193	422	771	64.6%	AIRTELBROADBAND-AS-AP Bharti Airtel Ltd., Telemedia Services,IN
AS4780	1071	305	766	71.5%	SEEDNET Digital United Inc.,TW
					RELANCE COMMUNICATIONS IN Reliance Communications Ltd,IN

Top 20 Added Routes this week per Originating AS

Prefixes ASnum AS Description

377	AS58543	CHINATELECOM-HUNAN-HENGYANG-MAN Hengyang,CN
323	AS45090	CNNIC-TENCENT-NET-AP Shenzhen Tencent Computer Systems Company Limited,CN
283	AS4	ISI-AS - University of Southern California,US
177	AS8551	BEZEQ-INTERNATIONAL-AS Bezeqint Internet Backbone,IL
175	AS27947	Telconet S.A,EC
136	AS2	UDEL-DCN - University of Delaware,US
107	AS3	MIT-GATEWAYS - Massachusetts Institute of Technology,US
95	AS31863	DACEN-2 - Centrilogic, Inc.,US
75	AS9583	SIFY-AS-IN Sify Limited,IN
67	AS45261	EATDATA-AP East Australia Transit and Data,AU
58	AS55303	EAGLENET-AP 60 Market Square,P.O. Box 364,PH
46	AS5384	EMIRATES-INTERNET Emirates Telecommunications Corporation,AE
39	AS4613	MOS-NP Mercantile Office Systems,NP
38	AS2686	ATGS-MMD-AS - AT&T Global Network Services, LLC,US
35	AS8402	CORBINA-AS OJSC "Vimpelcom",RU
35	AS45899	VNPT-AS-VN VNPT Corp,VN
32	AS29582	SATCOM-AS Optisprint,BG
32	AS9155	QNET QualityNet General Trading & Contracting Co.,KW
29	AS2541	JUMP-AS Jump Management SRL,RO
28	AS26972	SIRIUS-FIBER - Sirius Fiber, Inc.,US

Top 20 Withdrawn Routes this week per Originating AS

Prefixes ASnum AS Description

-195	AS4	ISI-AS - University of Southern California,US
-81	AS35819	MOBILY-AS Etihad Etisalat Company (Mobily),SA
-76	AS3356	LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc.,US
-72	AS24193	SIFY-IN Sify Limited Service Provider India,IN
-69	AS18046	DONGFONG-TW DongFong Technology Co. Ltd.,TW
-63	AS2	UDEL-DCN - University of Delaware,US
-59	AS62468	VPSQUAN - VpsQuan L.L.C.,US
-58	AS3	MIT-GATEWAYS - Massachusetts Institute of Technology,US
-50	AS39891	ALJAWWALSTC-AS Saudi Telecom Company JSC,SA
-34	AS19429	ETB - Colombia,CO
-34	AS9583	SIFY-AS-IN Sify Limited,IN
-34	AS55792	DATEC-PNG-AS-AP Datec-PNG,PG
-32	AS60115	KLASSGRUP-ASN SC Klass Systems Grup SRL,RO
-31	AS376	RISQ-AS - Reseau d'informations scientifiques du Quebec (RISQ),CA
-28	AS28347	UNOTEL TELECOM S/A,BR

Report: [Withdrawn Route count per Originating AS](#)

More Specifics

A list of route advertisements that appear to be more specific than the original Class-based prefix mask, or more specific than the registry allocation size.

Top 20 ASes advertising more specific prefixes

More Specifics	Total Prefixes	ASnum	AS Description
6599	8154	AS4	ISI-AS - University of Southern California,US
5763	8768	AS3	MIT-GATEWAYS - Massachusetts Institute of Technology,US
4432	5400	AS2	UDEL-DCN - University of Delaware,US
3054	3055	AS10620	Telmex Colombia S.A.,CO
2867	2933	AS22773	ASN-CXA-ALL-CCI-22773-RDC - Cox Communications Inc.,US
2863	2890	AS6389	BELLSOUTH-NET-BLK - BellSouth.net Inc.,US
2829	2915	AS4766	KIXS-AS-KR Korea Telecom,KR
2813	2825	AS17974	TELKOMNET-AS2-AP PT Telekomunikasi Indonesia,ID
2423	2508	AS7545	TPG-INTERNET-AP TPG Telecom Limited,AU
2344	2561	AS3356	LEVEL3 - Level 3 Communications, Inc.,US
2306	2314	AS28573	NET Serviços de Comunicação S.A.,BR
2022	2041	AS18566	MEGAPATH5-US - MegaPath Corporation,US
1912	1930	AS4755	TATACOMM-AS TATA Communications formerly VSNL is Leading ISP,IN
1891	1934	AS34984	TELLCOM-AS TELLCOM ILETISIM HIZMETLERI A.S.,TR
1816	1855	AS20115	CHARTER-NET-HKY-NC - Charter Communications,US
1773	1788	AS6147	Telefonica del Peru S.A.A.,PE
1765	1772	AS7303	Telecom Argentina S.A.,AR
1674	1675	AS9829	BSNL-NIB National Internet Backbone,IN
1664	1775	AS4538	ERX-CERNET-BKB China Education and Research Network Center,CN
1564	1564	AS20940	AKAMAI-ASN1 Akamai International B.V.,US

Report: [ASes ordered by number of more specific prefixes](#)

Report: [More Specific prefix list \(by AS\)](#)

Report: [More Specific prefix list \(ordered by prefix\)](#)

Possible Bogus Routes and AS Announcements

Aggregation Suggestions

Filter: [Aggregates](#), [Specifics](#)

This report does not take into account conditions local to each origin AS in terms of policy or traffic engineering requirements, so this is an approximate guideline as to aggregation possibilities.

Rank	AS	AS Name	Current	Withdw	Aggte	Annce	Redctn	%
2	AS6389	BELLSOUTH-NET-BLK - BellSouth.net Inc.,US	2890	2831	10	69	2821	97.61%

Prefix	AS Path	Aggregation Suggestion
12.81.90.0/23	6939 1299 7018 6389	
12.81.120.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	
12.83.3.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	
12.83.5.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	
12.83.7.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	
65.0.0.0/12	6939 1299 7018 6389	
65.0.0.0/18	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.0.0.0/19	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.0.40.0/22	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.0.50.0/23	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.0.64.0/18	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.0.128.0/18	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.0.192.0/19	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.0.224.0/19	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.1.0.0/19	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.1.32.0/19	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.1.64.0/19	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.1.128.0/18	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.1.224.0/20	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.1.240.0/20	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.2.0.0/16	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.2.0.0/17	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.2.128.0/17	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.3.224.0/19	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.4.64.0/18	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.4.192.0/18	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.1.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.12.0/22	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.16.0/22	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.20.0/23	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.21.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.22.0/23	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.24.0/22	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.28.0/22	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.32.0/20	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.34.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.46.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.57.0/24	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389
65.5.64.0/22	6939 1299 7018 6389	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 65.0.0.0/12 6939 1299 7018 6389

Aggregation Suggestions

Filter: [Aggregates](#), [Specifics](#)

This report does not take into account conditions local to each origin AS in terms of policy or traffic engineering requirements, so this is an approximate guideline as to aggregation possibilities.

Rank	AS	AS Name	Current	Withdw	Aggte	Annce	Redctn	%
17	AS18566	MEGAPATH5-US - MegaPath Corporation,US	2041	1358	185	868	1173	57.47%

Prefix	AS Path	Aggregation Suggestion
64.81.16.0/22	6939 1299 3356 18566	
64.81.20.0/22	6939 18566	
64.81.22.0/24	6939 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.20.0/22 6939 18566
64.81.24.0/21	6939 1299 3356 18566	+ Announce - aggregate of 64.81.24.0/22 (6939 1299 3356 18566) and 64.81.28.0/22 (6939 1299 3356 18566)
64.81.24.0/22	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 64.81.28.0/22 (6939 1299 3356 18566)
64.81.28.0/22	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 64.81.24.0/22 (6939 1299 3356 18566)
64.81.32.0/20	6939 4565 18566	
64.81.32.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.33.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.34.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.35.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.36.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.37.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.38.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.39.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.40.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.44.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.32.0/20 6939 4565 18566
64.81.48.0/20	6939 1299 3356 18566	
64.81.48.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.49.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.50.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.51.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.52.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.53.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.54.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.55.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.56.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.57.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.58.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.59.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.60.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.61.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.48.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.64.0/20	6939 1299 3356 18566	
64.81.64.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.64.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.65.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.64.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.66.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.64.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.67.0/24	6939 1299 3356 18566	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 64.81.64.0/20 6939 1299 3356 18566
64.81.68.0/22	6939 4565 18566	+ Announce - aggregate of 64.81.68.0/23 (6939 4565 18566) and 64.81.70.0/23 (6939 4565 18566)
64.81.68.0/24	6939 4565 18566	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 64.81.69.0/24 (6939 4565 18566)

Importance of Aggregation

- Size of routing table
 - Router Memory is not so much of a problem as it was in the 1990s
 - Routers can be specified to carry 1 million+ prefixes
- Convergence of the Routing System
 - This is a problem
 - Bigger table takes longer for CPU to process
 - BGP updates take longer to deal with
 - BGP Instability Report tracks routing system update activity
 - <http://bgpupdates.potaroo.net/instability/bgpupd.html>

The BGP Instability Report

The BGP Instability Report is updated daily. This report was generated on 11 January 2015 06:28 (UTC+1000)

50 Most active ASes for the past 7 days


RANK	ASN	UPDs	%	Prefixes	UPDs/Prefix	AS NAME
1	23752	322620	7.89%	140	2304.43	NPTELECOM-NP-AS Nepal Telecommunications Corporation, Internet Services,NP
2	9829	162058	3.96%	1676	96.69	BSNL-NIB National Internet Backbone,IN
3	22047	90699	2.22%	4293	21.13	VTR BANDA ANCHA S.A.,CL
4	53249	80223	1.96%	2	40111.50	LAWA-AS - Los Angeles World Airport,US
5	45899	71956	1.76%	810	88.83	VNPT-AS-VN VNPT Corp,VN
6	9198	54024	1.32%	862	62.67	KAZTELECOM-AS JSC Kazakhtelecom,KZ
7	38197	51252	1.25%	1161	44.14	SUNHK-DATA-AS-AP Sun Network (Hong Kong) Limited,HK
8	3	47270	1.16%	3	15756.67	MIT-GATEWAYS - Massachusetts Institute of Technology,US
9	17099	40038	0.98%	13	3079.85	CALLIS-COMMUNICATIONS-AS - Callis Communications,US
10	10620	36064	0.88%	3064	11.77	Telmex Colombia S.A.,CO
11	9583	35266	0.86%	1426	24.73	SIFY-AS-IN Sify Limited,IN
12	36925	32196	0.79%	79	407.54	ASMedi,MA
13	7552	29957	0.73%	1132	26.46	VIETEL-AS-AP Viettel Corporation,VN
14	28024	27915	0.68%	1526	18.29	Nuevatel PCS de Bolivia S.A.,BO
15	64512	25480	0.62%	17	1498.82	-Private Use AS-,ZZ
16	3816	25472	0.62%	922	27.63	COLOMBIA TELECOMUNICACIONES S.A. ESP,CO
17	60725	25426	0.62%	18	1412.56	O3B-AS O3b Limited,JE
18	12066	23482	0.57%	447	52.53	TRICOM,DO
19	31148	22883	0.56%	1045	21.90	FREENET-AS Freenet Ltd.,UA
20	48159	22847	0.56%	288	79.33	TIC-AS Telecommunication Infrastructure Company,IR
21	11054	22179	0.54%	36	616.08	LIVEPERSON - LivePerson, Inc.,US
22	23342	22157	0.54%	39	568.13	UNITEDLAYER - Unitedlayer, Inc.,US
23	9038	21664	0.53%	59	367.19	BAT-AS9038 Batelco Jordan,JO
24	28642	19643	0.48%	35	561.23	Contato Internet Ltda EPP,BR
25	25003	19625	0.48%	38	516.45	INTERNET_BINAT Internet Binat Ltd,IL

50 Most active Prefixes for the past 7 days

RANK	PREFIX	UPDs	%	Origin AS -- AS NAME
1	202.70.64.0/21	161787	3.73%	23752 -- NPTELECOM-NP-AS Nepal Telecommunications Corporation, Internet Services,NP
2	202.70.88.0/21	160309	3.69%	23752 -- NPTELECOM-NP-AS Nepal Telecommunications Corporation, Internet Services,NP
4	198.140.114.0/24	40131	0.92%	53249 -- LAWA-AS - Los Angeles World Airport,US
5	198.140.115.0/24	40092	0.92%	53249 -- LAWA-AS - Los Angeles World Airport,US
6	64.29.130.0/24	22154	0.51%	23342 -- UNITEDLAYER - Unitedlayer, Inc.,US
7	192.115.44.0/22	19417	0.45%	25003 -- INTERNET_BINAT Internet Binat Ltd,IL
8	185.26.155.0/24	13361	0.31%	60725 -- O3B-AS O3b Limited,JE
9	182.50.246.0/24	12169	0.28%	45786 -- HTSNET-AS-ID HTSNET - ISP,ID 65534 -- -Private Use AS-,ZZ
10	162.249.183.0/24	12054	0.28%	60725 -- O3B-AS O3b Limited,JE
11	192.58.232.0/24	10687	0.25%	6629 -- NOAA-AS - NOAA,US
12	42.83.48.0/20	9998	0.23%	18135 -- BTV BTV Cable television,JP
13	91.235.169.0/24	9835	0.23%	61039 -- ZMZ OAO ZMZ,RU
14	88.87.160.0/19	9545	0.22%	47680 -- NHCS EOBO Limited,IE
15	199.38.164.0/23	9007	0.21%	53563 -- XPLUSONE - X Plus One Solutions, Inc.,US
16	197.230.128.0/17	8314	0.19%	36925 -- ASMedi,MA 64512 -- -Private Use AS-,ZZ
17	41.214.192.0/18	8296	0.19%	36925 -- ASMedi,MA 64512 -- -Private Use AS-,ZZ
18	197.253.128.0/17	8236	0.19%	36925 -- ASMedi,MA 64512 -- -Private Use AS-,ZZ
19	105.188.0.0/14	7880	0.18%	36925 -- ASMedi,MA 64512 -- -Private Use AS-,ZZ
20	197.153.0.0/16	7872	0.18%	36925 -- ASMedi,MA 64512 -- -Private Use AS-,ZZ
21	41.92.0.0/17	7855	0.18%	36925 -- ASMedi,MA 64512 -- -Private Use AS-,ZZ
22	41.214.160.0/19	7823	0.18%	36925 -- ASMedi,MA 64512 -- -Private Use AS-,ZZ
23	192.136.55.0/24	6545	0.15%	25543 -- FasoNet-AS,BF
24	206.152.15.0/24	5892	0.14%	54465 -- QPM-AS-1 - QuickPlay Media Inc.,US
25	109.160.4.0/22	5084	0.12%	62174 -- INTERPAN-AS INTERPAN LTD.,BG

Aggregation Potential

(source: bgp.potaroo.net)



Aggregation

Summary

- Aggregation on the Internet could be **MUCH** better
 - 35% saving on Internet routing table size is quite feasible
 - Tools **are** available
 - Commands on the routers are not hard
 - CIDR-Report webpage

Receiving Prefixes



Receiving Prefixes

- There are three scenarios for receiving prefixes from other ASNs
 - Customer talking BGP
 - Peer talking BGP
 - Upstream/Transit talking BGP
- Each has different filtering requirements and need to be considered separately

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

- ❑ ISPs should only accept prefixes which have been assigned or allocated to their downstream customer
- ❑ If ISP has assigned address space to its customer, then the customer IS entitled to announce it back to his ISP
- ❑ If the ISP has NOT assigned address space to its customer, then:
 - Check the five RIR databases to see if this address space really has been assigned to the customer
 - The tool: `whois -h jwhois.apnic.net x.x.x.0/24`
 - ❑ (jwhois queries all RIR databases)

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

- Example use of whois to check if customer is entitled to announce address space:

```
$ whois -h jwhois.apnic.net 202.12.29.0
inetnum:          202.12.28.0 - 202.12.29.255
netname:          APNIC-AP
descr:           Asia Pacific Network Information Centre
descr:           Regional Internet Registry for the Asia-Pacific
descr:           6 Cordelia Street
descr:           South Brisbane, QLD 4101
descr:           Australia
country:         AU
admin-c:         AIC1-AP
tech-c:          NO4-AP
mnt-by:          APNIC-HM
mnt-irt:         IRT-APNIC-AP
changed:         hm-changed@apnic.net
status:          ASSIGNED PORTABLE
changed:         hm-changed@apnic.net 20110309
source:          APNIC
```

Portable – means its an assignment to the customer, the customer can announce it to you

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

- Example use of whois to check if customer is entitled to announce address space:

```
$ whois -h whois.ripe.net 193.128.0.0
inetnum:          193.128.0.0 - 193.133.255.255
netname:          UK-PIPEX-193-128-133
descr:           Verizon UK Limited
country:         GB
org:             ORG-UA24-RIPE
admin-c:         WERT1-RIPE
tech-c:          UPHM1-RIPE
status:          ALLOCATED*UNSPECIFIED
remarks:         Please send abuse notification to abuse@uk.uu.net
mnt-by:          RIPE-NCC-HM-MNT
mnt-lower:       AS1849-MNT
mnt-routes:      AS1849-MNT
mnt-routes:      WCOM-EMEA-RICE-MNT
mnt-irt:         IRT-MCI-GB
source:          RIPE # Filtered
```

ALLOCATED – means that this is Provider Aggregatable address space and can only be announced by the ISP holding the allocation (in this case Verizon UK)

Receiving Prefixes: From Peers

- A peer is an ISP with whom you agree to exchange prefixes you originate into the Internet routing table
 - Prefixes you accept from a peer are only those they have indicated they will announce
 - Prefixes you announce to your peer are only those you have indicated you will announce

Receiving Prefixes: From Peers

- Agreeing what each will announce to the other:
 - Exchange of e-mail documentation as part of the peering agreement, and then ongoing updates

OR

- Use of the Internet Routing Registry and configuration tools such as the IRRToolSet
 - www.isc.org/sw/IRRToolSet/

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

- ❑ Upstream/Transit Provider is an ISP who you pay to give you transit to the **WHOLE** Internet
- ❑ Receiving prefixes from them is not desirable unless really necessary
 - Traffic Engineering – see BGP Multihoming Presentations
- ❑ Ask upstream/transit provider to either:
 - originate a default-route
 - OR
 - announce one prefix you can use as default

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

- ❑ If necessary to receive prefixes from any provider, care is required.
 - Don't accept default (unless you need it)
 - Don't accept your own prefixes
- ❑ Special uses prefixes for IPv4 and IPv6:
 - <http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6890.txt>
- ❑ For IPv4:
 - Don't accept prefixes longer than /24 (?)
 - ❑ /24 was the historical class C
- ❑ For IPv6:
 - Don't accept prefixes longer than /48 (?)
 - ❑ /48 is the design minimum delegated to a site

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

- ❑ Check Team Cymru's list of "bogons"
www.team-cymru.org/Services/Bogons/http.html
- ❑ For IPv4 also consult:
www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6441.txt (BCP171)
- ❑ For IPv6 also consult:
www.space.net/~gert/RIPE/ipv6-filters.html
- ❑ Bogon Route Server:
www.team-cymru.org/Services/Bogons/routeserver.html
 - Supplies a BGP feed (IPv4 and/or IPv6) of address blocks which should not appear in the BGP table



Receiving Prefixes

- Paying attention to prefixes received from customers, peers and transit providers assists with:
 - The integrity of the local network
 - The integrity of the Internet
- Responsibility of all ISPs to be good Internet citizens

Configuration Tips



Of passwords, tricks and
templates

iBGP and IGP

Reminder!

- ❑ Make sure loopback is configured on router
 - iBGP between loopbacks, NOT real interfaces
- ❑ Make sure IGP carries loopback IPv4 /32 and IPv6 /128 address
- ❑ Consider the DMZ nets:
 - Use unnumbered interfaces?
 - Use next-hop-self on iBGP neighbours
 - Or carry the DMZ IPv4 /30s and IPv6 /127s in the iBGP
 - Basically keep the DMZ nets out of the IGP!

iBGP: Next-hop-self

- BGP speaker announces external network to iBGP peers using router's local address (loopback) as next-hop
- Used by many ISPs on edge routers
 - Preferable to carrying DMZ point-to-point addresses in the IGP
 - Reduces size of IGP to just core infrastructure
 - Alternative to using unnumbered interfaces
 - Helps scale network
 - Many ISPs consider this "best practice"

Limiting AS Path Length

- Some BGP implementations have problems with long AS_PATHS
 - Memory corruption
 - Memory fragmentation
- Even using AS_PATH prepends, it is not normal to see more than 20 ASes in a typical AS_PATH in the Internet today
 - The Internet is around 5 ASes deep on average
 - Largest AS_PATH is usually 16-20 ASNs

Limiting AS Path Length

- Some announcements have ridiculous lengths of AS-paths:

```
*> 3FFE:1600::/24      22 11537 145 12199 10318 10566 13193 1930 2200
    3425 293 5609 5430 13285 6939 14277 1849 33 15589 25336 6830 8002
    2042 7610 i
```

This example is an error in one IPv6 implementation


```
*>i193.105.15.0      2516 3257 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404
    50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404
    50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404
    50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404
    50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404
    50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404
    50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404
    50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 50404 i
```

This example shows 100 prepends (for no obvious reason)

- If your implementation supports it, consider limiting the maximum AS-path length you will accept

BGP TTL “hack”

- Implement RFC5082 on BGP peerings
 - (Generalised TTL Security Mechanism)
 - Neighbour sets TTL to 255
 - Local router expects TTL of incoming BGP packets to be 254
 - No one apart from directly attached devices can send BGP packets which arrive with TTL of 254, so any possible attack by a remote miscreant is dropped due to TTL mismatch



BGP TTL “hack”

- TTL Hack:
 - Both neighbours must agree to use the feature
 - TTL check is much easier to perform than MD5
 - (Called BTSH – BGP TTL Security Hack)
- Provides “security” for BGP sessions
 - In addition to packet filters of course
 - MD5 should still be used for messages which slip through the TTL hack
 - See <https://www.nanog.org/meetings/nanog27/presentations/meyer.pdf> for more details

Templates

- Good practice to configure templates for everything
 - Vendor defaults tend not to be optimal or even very useful for ISPs
 - ISPs create their own defaults by using configuration templates
- eBGP and iBGP examples follow
 - Also see Team Cymru's BGP templates
 - <http://www.team-cymru.org/ReadingRoom/Documents/>

iBGP Template

Example

- ❑ iBGP between loopbacks!
- ❑ Next-hop-self
 - Keep DMZ and external point-to-point out of IGP
- ❑ Always send communities in iBGP
 - Otherwise accidents will happen
- ❑ Hardwire BGP to version 4
 - Yes, this is being paranoid!

iBGP Template

Example continued

- Use passwords on iBGP session
 - Not being paranoid, **VERY** necessary
 - It's a secret shared between you and your peer
 - If arriving packets don't have the correct MD5 hash, they are ignored
 - Helps defeat miscreants who wish to attack BGP sessions
- Powerful preventative tool, especially when combined with filters and the TTL "hack"

eBGP Template

Example

- ❑ BGP damping
 - Do **NOT** use it unless you understand the impact
 - Do **NOT** use the vendor defaults without thinking
- ❑ Remove private ASes from announcements
 - Common omission today
- ❑ Use extensive filters, with “backup”
 - Use as-path filters to backup prefix filters
 - Keep policy language for implementing policy, rather than basic filtering
- ❑ Use password agreed between you and peer on eBGP session

eBGP Template

Example continued

- Use maximum-prefix tracking
 - Router will warn you if there are sudden increases in BGP table size, bringing down eBGP if desired
- Limit maximum as-path length inbound
- Log changes of neighbour state
 - ...and monitor those logs!
- Make BGP admin distance higher than that of any IGP
 - Otherwise prefixes heard from outside your network could override your IGP!!

Summary

- ❑ Use configuration templates
- ❑ Standardise the configuration
- ❑ Be aware of standard “tricks” to avoid compromise of the BGP session
- ❑ Anything to make your life easier, network less prone to errors, network more likely to scale
- ❑ It’s all about scaling – if your network won’t scale, then it won’t be successful

BGP Techniques for Internet Service Providers



Philip Smith
<philip@apnic.net>
SANOG 25