

Alternative architectures for Broadcast TV/Video Distribution in Metro Ethernet Networks

Srinath Beldona sbeldona@cisco.com

© 2005 Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.

Agenda

- L2 vs L3 for the aggregation
- Video Service and QOS Requirements
- Dual Stream Video Architecture
- Conclusion

L2 vs L3 for the aggregation



Why Layer 3 for Video in Distribution Network?

Better ARP / Forwarding Adjacency Scaling

IP Aggregation Interface Requires 1 ARP + 1 Forwarding Entry / STB Example Worst Case Numbers:

400 Video Subscribers / DSLAM, 40 DSLAMs / PE-Agg

10 PE-Agg / L3VPN-PE

L2 Aggregation Scaling == 160000 ARP + Forwarding Entries in N-PE

L3 Aggregation Scaling == 16000 ARP + Forwarding Entries in PE-Agg

Simpler VLAN Topology

- L2 Architecture Uses Separate Video VLAN per PE-Agg in Distribution Needed Due to Bridge Table Scaling Issues in Distribution Network Multicast VLAN Routing Needed to Reduce Multicast B/W in Distribution
- L3 Architecture Uses Single Video VLAN in Distribution No Bridge Tables in Distribution Network; No Scaling Issues Unicast and Multicast Video Carried in same VLAN

Why Layer 3 for Video in Distribution Network?

 Enables IP Multicast Replication in Distribution Network IGMPv2 >> SSM Mapping in PE-Agg Source Based Replication (SSM) More Secure

Enables Anycast for Multicast

Supports Separate multicast trees for Redundant Encoders Allows Fast Fail Over of Redundant Encoders Fail Over Occurs within IP reconvergence time

Enables Multicast Load Balancing

No Multicast Load Balancing with Dual N-PE Routers with L2 Distribution Potential Fail Over Issue as Well No Issue If PIM Runs to PE-Agg Router

 PE-Agg Supports Simultaneous L2 and L3 Forwarding Some Services Require Layer 2 Distribution Network Catalyst Switches Support Different Switching Models on Per VLAN Basis Layer 2, Layer 3, Layer 2 + Layer 3 (Switched Virtual Interface)

L3 allows Anycast Based Load Sharing



Session Number Presentation ID

L3 and Encoder Fail Over Using Anycast



Multicast Convergence with Layer 2 Distribution



© 2005 Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved

Potential Multicast Convergence Issues with Layer 2 Distribution





Video Service and QOS Requirements

Broadcast Video Service Challenges

Contributors to Channel Change Delay @STB

- 1. Multicast Leave for old Channel (50 msec)
- 2. Delay for Multicast Stream to Stop (150 msec w/ Fast Leave) Delays Due to IGMP Queries / Timeouts on Access Link Fast Leave Processing on DSLAM Removes This Delay
- 3. Multicast Join for New Channel (50 msec 200 msec)
- 4. Jitter Buffer Fill (200 msec)
- 5. I-Frame Delay (500 msec 1 sec)

Video QoS Requirements

- Video and Voice Have Competing QoS Requirements
 - Video QoS Requirements

Allowed Drop Rate ~= 10**-6

Allowed Jitter ~= 200 Msec

Voice QoS Requirements

Allowed Drop Rate ~= 10**-2

Allowed Jitter ~= 60 Msec

- Voice Requires Minimal Jitter; Use Priority Queue
- Video Requires Extremely Low Drop Rate

Low Drop Rate == Large Buffering

Requirement Due to Burst Accumulation

Queue Length Must be > Max Expected Jitter to 10**-6 Probability

• Platform Buffering Capacity will be Important for Video in the Future

Dual Stream Video Solution



Video Challenge – Reliability

- Broadcast Source Represents Single Point of Failure for Video Failure of Real Time Encoder Failure of Link(s) from Real Time Encoder
- Multicast Reroute Can Cause Broadcast Interruption Network Must Re-converge in < STB Jitter Buffer Time (200 msec) Network Must Buffer All Packets During Reconvergence
- Intelligent Video Processing Enables Hitless Fail Over Send Redundant Multicast Streams to Intelligent Video Node Intelligent Video Node Builds Single Output from Redundant Inputs Instant Fail Over for Broadcast Video No Service Disruption in the Event of Failures

Layer 3 based Dual Stream Broadcast TV Solution



L3 based Dual Stream Video Redundancy



Session Number Presentation ID

Dual Stream Redundancy How it Works ?

- Broadcast Stream from Encoder Sent to Two Multicast Groups
- IP Network Configured to Route Streams Separately
 Constrained Reverse Path Look Up
- Multicast streams routed in opposite directions on the ring
- Failure of a link requires PIM Join to adjacent Link receiving the same stream
- Source Specific Multicast Routing to ensure security
- This architecture is fully upgradeable to future solutions

CISCO SYSTEMS